

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

FOR US POSTAL SERVICE DELIVERY:
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare
6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500, MSC 6910
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-6910
Home Page: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm

FOR EXPRESS MAIL:
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare
6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500
Bethesda, Maryland 20817
Telephone: (301) 496-7163
Facsimile: (301) 480-3387

July 28, 2021

Re: Animal Welfare Assurance A3304-01 [OLAW Case 3X]

Dana L. Director, Ph.D. Vice President for Research Operations And Student Affairs Oregon Health and Science University 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd. Portland, OR 97239-3098

Dear Dr. Director,

Thank you for your recent communication of May 28, 2021 in response to OLAW's request for information regarding allegations made by People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA). According to the information provided, OLAW understands that:

- OHSU did not categorize any animals as "unnecessary", "extraneous", "noncritical", "nonessential", "disposable", or describe them by any other similar terminology in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- The IACUC approves only the minimal number of animals required to obtain valid research results. Scientific justification for the number of animals requested is required to attain protocol approval from the IACUC.
- 3) OHSU has a disaster plan that defines actions to prevent animal pain, distress, and deaths from the consequences of a disaster. Proactive steps taken in March 2020 by your institution included a "stop" on the ordering and importation of additional animals. The institution directed investigators to reduce rodent colonies, for example by discontinuing breeding for future experiments and halting ordering of new animals.
- 4) When laboratory personnel could not safely enter the facilities to monitor the animals and ensure their welfare, the only ethical option was to conduct euthanasia. These actions, as defined in the OHSU Disaster Plan, were such that animals that could not be relocated or protected from the consequences of the disaster were humanely euthanized.

OLAW appreciates your cooperation with this matter and the transparency of your program, and we find no cause for further action by this office at this time.

Sincerely,

Brent C. Morse -S Digitally signed by Brent C. Morse -S Date: 2021.07.28 08:00:46 -04'00'

Brent C. Morse, DVM Director Division of Compliance Oversight Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare

cc: IACUC contact

Director, Animal Care & Use program



May 28, 2021

Brent Morse, DVM, DACLAM
Director, Division of Compliance Oversight
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW)
National Institutes of Health
6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500, MSC 6910
Bethesda, MD 20892

Dear Dr. Morse:

Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU), in accordance with Assurance D16-00195 (A3304-01) and PHS Policy IV.F.3., provides this explanation of circumstances surrounding allegations from the People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) of waste of National Institutes of Health (NIH) resources at our institution. This explanation applies to the following:

Funding Agency Investigator Protocol Title Award **NIEHS** The Roles of BER Stephen Lloyd 1R56ES027632-01A1 and TLS in Limiting Aflatoxin-Induced Carcinogenesis **NIEHS** Stephen Lloyd 5R01ES031086-02 Role of Base Excision Repair in Limiting Hepatocellular Carcinomas **NIAID** Scott Landfear 5R33AI127591-05 Development of **Novel Therapeutics** for Leishmaniasis NIMH Brian O'Roak 5R01MH113926-Characterizing 04 Patient-Specific Tbr1 Mutations: Understanding a Master Regulator of Autism risk

As we indicated in our response to PETA on August 6, 2020, OHSU did not categorize any animals as "unnecessary", "extraneous", "noncritical", "non-essential", "disposable", or describe them by any other similar terminology in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. All animals used in research at OHSU are essential in addressing critically important scientific questions. Animal models are used only when non-animal models are unavailable as suitable alternatives.

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) conducts rigorous review prior to approval of the numbers of animals used in research. Investigators must justify the numbers of animals they request in their IACUC proposals through statistical power analysis based on known or expected variation of experimental endpoints. The IACUC

Research & Innovation Office

tel 503 494-1083 fax 503 494-1099

Dana Director, PhD Vice President of Research Administration & Senior Staff Officer

director@ohsu.edu www.ohsu.edu/research

Mail code: L335 3181 S.W, Sam Jackson Park Rd, Portland, OR 97239-3098 approves only the minimal number of animals required to obtain valid research results. Scientific justification for the number of animals requested is required to attain protocol approval from the IACUC.

OHSU entered Modified Operations for Research on March 23, 2020 due to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. As a biomedical research and healthcare institution, OHSU acted to protect the health and safety of our patients, our employees and their families, and the health and welfare of the animals under our care.

OHSU has a disaster plan that defines actions to prevent animal pain, distress, and deaths from the consequences of a disaster. Proactive steps taken in March 2020 by our institution included a "stop" on the ordering and importation of additional animals. The institution directed investigators to reduce rodent colonies, for example by discontinuing breeding for future experiments and halting ordering of new animals. These actions, as detailed in our disaster plan, conserved precious resources, such as personal protective equipment (PPE), needed for the care of animals present in our facilities at that time.

In addition, the institution carefully reviewed ongoing experimental timelines, making adjustments to minimize physical contacts among laboratory personnel. When laboratory personnel could not safely enter the facilities to monitor the animals and ensure their welfare, the only ethical option was to conduct euthanasia. These actions, as defined in the OHSU Disaster Plan, were such that animals that could not be relocated or protected from the consequences of the disaster were humanely euthanized.

Planning for a "worst case scenario" included preparation for a limited husbandry and veterinary workforce and training of laboratory personnel to assist in husbandry duties. Through careful management of physical distancing among personnel and judicious use of PPE, the husbandry and veterinary staff resources of the centralized vivarium executed all normal duties in delivering care and ensuring animal welfare.

OHSU is committed to protecting the welfare of the animals we use in answering critical scientific questions for the benefit of both humans and animals. Should you have any questions regarding this response, please contact (b) (6)

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

Dana L. Director, Ph.D.

Vice President of Research Administration & Senior Staff Officer Institutional Official Oregon Health & Science University

cc: Attending Veterinarian
IACUC Chair
Director, Animal Care & Use Program

Morse, Brent (NIH/OD) [E]

From:

Walker, Keri (NIH/OD) [E]

Sent:

Tuesday, June 1, 2021 8:01 AM

To:

Morse, Brent (NIH/OD) [E]

Subject:

FW: OHSU A3304-01 Case 3X

Attachments:

response to PETA allegations final signed.pdf

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

See attached.

Keri

From:

(b) (6)

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 11:52 AM

To: Walker, Keri (NIH/OD) [E] <keri.walker@nih.gov>

Cc: Dana Director < director@ohsu.edu>
Subject: RE: OHSU A3304-01 Case 3X

Dear Ms. Walker,

Please find attached the requested explanation from Oregon Health & Science University regarding allegations from People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) of waste of National Institutes of Health resources.

Respectfully,
(b) (6)



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

FOR US POSTAL SERVICE DELIVERY:

Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare 6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500, MSC 6910 Bethesda, Maryland 20892-6910

Home Page: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm

May 10, 2021

FOR EXPRESS MAIL:
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare
6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500
Bethesda, Maryland 20817

Telephone: (301) 496-7163 Facsimile: (301) 480-3387

Re: Animal Welfare Assurance A3304-01 [OLAW Case 3X]

Dana L. Director, Ph.D.
Vice President for Research Operations
Oregon Health and Science University
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd.
Portland, OR 97239-3098

Dear Dr. Director,

The Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) has received from People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA), allegations regarding the waste of National Institutes of Health (NIH) resources at your institution by NIEHS-funded investigator, Dr. Stephen Lloyd under protocols titled, "The Roles of BER and TLS in Limiting Aflatoxin-Induced Carcinogenesis" (1R56ES027632-01A1) and "Role of Base Excision Repair in Limiting Hepatocellular Carcinomas" (5R01ES031086-02), wherein animals were categorized as "unnecessary," "extraneous", "noncritical", "non-essential", "ramped down", "disposable", "nonpriority" or described using similar terminology and—according to documents obtained by PETA through Freedom of Information Act requests—euthanized in response to COVID-19.

As authorized under section V. A. 4. of the PHS Policy, and as referenced in your Animal Welfare Assurance for Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, OLAW is requesting that your institution provide an explanation of the circumstances surrounding the above allegation. Specifically, please respond whether or not animals on PHS-funded activities were euthanized after being designated as "unnecessary," "extraneous", "noncritical", "non-essential", "ramped down", "disposable", or "nonpriority" or described using similar terminology. If so, please provide an explanation to the circumstances.

Also, please confirm that your institution has a process, or processes, in place to minimize the number of animals required to obtain valid results on PHS-funded activities. In addition, confirm that your institution has a disaster plan established that defines "the actions necessary to prevent animal pain, distress, and deaths..." such that "Animals that cannot be relocated or protected from the consequences of the disaster must be humanely euthanized." Please confirm that this plan was followed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic effects on your institution.

We appreciate the consideration of this matter by the Oregon Health and Science University and your cooperation as the Institutional Official and ask that you please provide the requested information by **May 31, 2021**. Please contact me if I can be of assistance.

Sincerely,

Brent C. Morse -S Digitally signed by Brent C. Morse -S Date: 2021.05.10 13:38:21 -04'00'

Brent C. Morse, DVM Director Division of Compliance Oversight Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare

cc: IACUC contact

Director, Animal Care & Use program



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

FOR US POSTAL SERVICE DELIVERY:
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare
6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500, MSC 6910
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-6910
Home Page: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm

FOR EXPRESS MAIL:
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare
6700B Rockledge Drive, Suite 2500
Bethesda, Maryland 20817
Telephone: (301) 496-7163
Facsimile: (301) 480-3387

May 11, 2021

Re: Animal Welfare Assurance A3304-01 [OLAW Case 3X] Addendum

Dana L. Director, Ph.D. Vice President for Research Operations Oregon Health and Science University 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd. Portland, OR 97239-3098

Dear Dr. Director,

The Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) has received from People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA), additional allegations regarding the waste of National Institutes of Health (NIH) resources at your institution by NIAID-funded investigator, Dr. Scott Landfear, under the protocol titled, "Development of Novel Therapeutics for Leishmaniasis" (5R33AI127591-05), and NIMH-funded investigator, Dr. Brian O'Roak, under the protocol titled, "Characterizing Patient-Specific Tbrl Mutations: Understanding a Master Regulator of Autism Risk" (5R01MH113926-04), wherein animals were categorized as "unnecessary," "extraneous", "noncritical", "non-essential", "ramped down", "disposable", "nonpriority" or described using similar terminology and—according to documents obtained by PETA through Freedom of Information Act requests—euthanized in response to COVID-19.

As authorized under section V. A. 4. of the PHS Policy, and as referenced in your Animal Welfare Assurance for Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, OLAW is requesting that your institution provide an explanation of the circumstances surrounding the above allegation. Specifically, please respond whether or not animals on PHS-funded activities were euthanized after being designated as "unnecessary," "extraneous", "noncritical", "non-essential", "ramped down", "disposable", or "nonpriority" or described using similar terminology. If so, please provide an explanation to the circumstances.

Also, please confirm that your institution has a process, or processes, in place to minimize the number of animals required to obtain valid results on PHS-funded activities. In addition, confirm that your institution has a disaster plan established that defines "the actions necessary to prevent animal pain, distress, and deaths…" such that "Animals that cannot be relocated or protected from the consequences of the disaster must be humanely euthanized." Please confirm that this plan was followed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic effects on your institution.

We appreciate the consideration of this matter by the Oregon Health and Science University and your cooperation as the Institutional Official and ask that you please provide the requested information by May 31, 2021. Please contact me if I can be of assistance.

Sincerely,

Brent C. Morse -S Digitally signed by Brent C. Morse -S Date: 2021.05.11 08:19:03 -04'00'

Brent C. Morse, DVM Director Division of Compliance Oversight Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare

cc: IACUC contact

Director, Animal Care & Use program



February 17, 2021

Rick Woychik, Ph.D. Director National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Via e-mail: NIEHSDirector@nih.gov

Dear Dr. Woychik:

Thank you in advance for your time. I'm writing on behalf of People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) and our more than 6.5 million members and supporters. On June 15, 2020, we sent a detailed letter to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General urging Principal Deputy Inspector General Christi Grimm to investigate, assess, and take corrective action regarding the apparent egregious waste of National Institutes of Health (NIH) research grants worth up to billions of taxpayer dollars each year—and the apparent failure of NIH-funded protocols to reduce and replace animal use in experiments as required by federal provisions. 1

Based on the new information presented below, we urge the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) to cancel the taxpayer funding—amounting to \$308,000 in FY2017² and \$357,057 in FY2021³—granted to experimenter Stephen Lloyd of the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) for any and all renewals of his protocols, and insist that he reimburses the taxpayer funds used to acquire, breed, confine and maintain the animals used in experiments whom OHSU categorized as unnecessary, extraneous, noncritical, non-essential, ramped down, disposable, or nonpriority or described using similar terminology and—according to documents obtained by PETA through Freedom of Information Act requests—euthanized in response to COVID-19.

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS

Washington, D.C. 1536 16th St. N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202-483-PETA

Los Angeles 2154 W. Sunset Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90026 323-644-PETA

Norfolk 501 Front St. Norfolk, VA 23510 757-622-PETA

Berkeley 2855 Telegraph Ave. Ste. 301 Berkeley, CA 94705 510-763-PETA

Info@peta.org PETA.org

- * PETA Asia
- * PETA India
- * PETA France
- * PETA Austrolin
- * PETA Germany

¹People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. (2020, June 15). Request to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regarding the COVID-19 contingency plans at US universities. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/20-06-15-Request-to-HHS-OIG-regarding-COVID-19-contingency-plans-at-US-universities.pdf

² NIH. (n.d.). The Roles Of BER And TLS in Limiting Aflatoxin-Induced Carcinogenesis: 1R56ES027632-01A1. Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools (RePORT): Project Information.

https://projectreporter.nih.gov/project_info_details.cfm?aid=9527499&icde=53581510 ³ NIH. (n.d.). Role of Base Excision Repair in Limiting Hepatocellular Carcinomas: 5R01ES031086-02. Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools (RePORT): Project Information. https://projectreporter.nih.gov/project_info_details.cfm?aid=10077479&icde=53581431

Affiliates

Euthanizing Animals Extraneous to NIEHS-Funded Experiments Wastes Taxpayer Funds Dr. Lloyd conducted and/or conducts the NIEHS-funded protocols titled, "The Roles of BER and TLS in Limiting Aflatoxin-Induced Carcinogenesis" (1R56ES027632-01A1) and "Role of Base Excision Repair in Limiting Hepatocellular Carcinomas" (5R01ES031086-02). Per its COVID-19 response

plan, OHSU notified its staff that "the university's research mission has been curtailed," and on March 23, 2020, OHSU transitioned to "modified operations" in response to COVID-19. As a result, animals assigned to Lloyd's aforementioned protocol were euthanized in March 2020. This was verified in a correspondence with OHSU staff. 7,8,9

The fact that the laboratory led by Lloyd had any unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals in the first place should raise significant red flags, especially since his experiments are funded by taxpayers who should not have to foot the bill for such waste.

Lloyd's NIEHS-Funded Protocol Failed to Reduce and Replace Animal Use

The presence of any unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals in NIEHS-funded experiments led by Lloyd flies in the face of existing regulations designed to minimize the use of animals in experiments.

NIH-supported language requiring the minimization of the experimental use of animals is present in the Health Research Extension Act of 1985, the National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993, the eighth edition of the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*, and the U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training:

• The Health Research Extension Act of 1985 states, "The Director of NIH shall require each applicant for a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement involving research on animals ... to include in its application or contract proposal ... (1) assurances satisfactory to the Director of NIH that ... (B) scientists, animal technicians, and other personnel involved with animal care, treatment, and use by the applicant have available to them instruction or training in the ... use of research or testing methods that limit the use of animals or limit animal distress [emphasis added]." 10

https://news.ohsu.edu/2020/02/28/preparing-for-the-novel-coronavirus-at-ohsu

⁴OHSU. (2020, February 28). OHSU coronavirus (COVID-19) response.

⁵OHSU. (n.d.). Research and Innovation. https://www.ohsu.edu/research-innovation

⁶OHSU. (n.d.). Euthanized due to COVID-19. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Euthanized-due-to-COVID-19 Redacted.pdf

⁷Kuske, R. (2021, February 9). Response to PETA's 2/3/21 amended request. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/2021-02-09-Responsive-docs-sent-in-previous-FOIA.pdf

⁸Kuske, R. (2021, February 11). Response to PETA's 08/28/20 & 12/16/20 requests. https://www.peta.org/wpcontent/uploads/2021/02/Response-to-PETAs-082820-121620-requests.pdf

⁹eIACUC. (2021, January 6). Role of Base Excision Repair following Oxidative Stress (TR01_IP00000145). https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/IP00145 Redacted.pdf

¹⁰Health Research Extension Act of 1985, Publ. L. No. 99-158. (1985). https://olaw.nih.gov/policies-laws/hrea-1985.htm

- The National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 states, "The Director of NIH ... shall prepare a plan ... for the National Institutes of Health to conduct or support research into ... methods of such research and experimentation that reduce the number of animals used in such research [emphasis added]."¹¹
- The eighth edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals states, "The Guide ... endorses the following principles: consideration of alternatives (in vitro systems, computer simulations, and/or mathematical models) to reduce or replace the use of animals [emphasis added]."¹²
- The U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training (1985) states, "The animals selected for a procedure should be of an appropriate species and quality and the minimum number required to obtain valid results [emphasis added]." 13

When Lloyd, and NIEHS-funded experimenter, buys, breeds, traps, and/or uses animals who at any time—not just during the COVID-19 pandemic—can be deemed unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous or described using similar terminology, he squanders limited research funds, which are provided by taxpayers, and flouts the bedrock "3Rs" principle of reducing, replacing, and refining the use of animals in experimentation that is enshrined in government regulations and policies.

Under this standard, the number of unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals used in the experiments led by Lloyd should have been zero from the start since they weren't relevant to his project. Also, because taxpayer funds were used to acquire, breed, confine, and/or maintain these unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals who were then so easily euthanized and disposed of in response to COVID-19, OHSU should reimburse the NIEHS for this fiscal waste.

Furthermore, the Congressional Research Service (CRS) has found that during the COVID-19 pandemic, "[s]uspending research may result in additional costs for activities such as animal care," and "[r]estarting research, when conditions permit, may also incur costs for staff time and supplies to ... reestablish laboratory animal populations." Taxpayers and NIEHS should not be responsible for the additional costs associated with "reestablishing laboratory animal populations" since universities deemed many of them to be unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous to the experiments and because repopulating animals in laboratories at taxpayers' expense would appear to violate the aforementioned federal regulations and policies that mandate minimizing the use of animals in experiments.

Request for Research Accountability and Modernization

¹¹NIH Revitalization Act of 1993, Publ. L. No. 103-43. (1993). https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/pl103-43.pdf
¹²National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. (2011). *Guide for the care and use of laboratory*

animals. https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/guide-for-the-care-and-use-of-laboratory-animals.pdf

13 National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. (2011). Appendix B: U.S. government principles for the utilization and care of vertebrate animals used in testing, research, and training. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK54048/

¹⁴Congressional Research Service. (2020, April 10). Effects of COVID-19 on the federal research and development enterprise. https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R46309

We urge you to cancel the funding granted to Lloyd's projects 1R56ES027632-01A1 and 5R01ES031086-02, and to seek reimbursement from him for any and all NIEHS funds wasted to acquire, breed, confine and/or maintain the animals who he and/or OHSU deemed unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, extraneous or described using similar terminology and then euthanized. Moreover, we encourage NIEHS to redirect the funds it initially granted to Lloyd to instead now focus on human-relevant, non-animal research methods, as described in PETA's Research Modernization Deal. ¹⁵

You can contact me at		(b) (6) We look forward to your reply
regarding this important matter. Thank you.		
Sincerely yours,	(b) (6)	

¹⁵People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. (2021). *The Research Modernization Deal 2021*. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/PETA-2021-Research-Modernization-Deal.pdf



February 17, 2021

Hugh Auchincloss, M.D. Principal Deputy Director National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Via e-mail: auchinclossh@niaid.nih.gov

Dear Dr. Auchincloss:

Thank you in advance for your time. I'm writing on behalf of People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) and our more than 6.5 million members and supporters. On June 15, 2020, we sent a detailed letter to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General urging Principal Deputy Inspector General Christi Grimm to investigate, assess, and take corrective action regarding the apparent egregious waste of National Institutes of Health (NIH) research grants worth up to billions of taxpayer dollars each year—and the apparent failure of NIH-funded protocols to reduce and replace animal use in experiments as required by federal provisions.

Based on the new information presented below, we urge the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) to cancel the taxpayer funding—amounting \$446,184 in FY2021²—granted to experimenter Scott Landfear of the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) for any and all renewals of his protocols, and insist that he reimburses the taxpayer funds used to acquire, breed, confine and maintain the animals used in experiments whom OHSU categorized as unnecessary, extraneous, noncritical, non-essential, ramped down, disposable, or nonpriority or described using similar terminology and—according to documents obtained by PETA through Freedom of Information Act requests—euthanized in response to COVID-19.

Euthanizing Animals Extraneous to NIAID-Funded Experiments Wastes Taxpayer Funds

Landfear conducts the NIAID-funded protocol titled, "Development of Novel Therapeutics for Leishmaniasis" (5R33AI127591-05). Per its

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. (2020, June 15). Request to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regarding the COVID-19 contingency plans at US universities. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/20-06-15-Request-to-HHS-OIG-regarding-COVID-19-contingency-plans-at-US-universities.pdf

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS

Washington, D.C. 1536 16th St. N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202-483-PETA

Los Angeles 2154 W. Sunset Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90026 323-644-PETA

Norfolk 50 | Front St. Narfalk, VA 23510 757-622-PETA

Berkeley 2855 Telegraph Ave. Ste. 301 Berkeley, CA 94705 510-763-PETA

Info@peta.org PETA.org

Affiliates

- · PETA Asia
- · PETA India
- · PETA France
- · PETA Australia
- * PETA Germany

² NIH. (n.d.). Development of Novel Therapeutics For Leishmaniasis: 5R33AII27591-05. Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools (RePORT): Project Information. https://projectreporter.nih.gov/project info details.cfm?aid=10059160&icde=53581610

COVID-19 response plan, OHSU notified its staff that "the university's research mission has been curtailed," and on March 23, 2020, OHSU transitioned to "modified operations" in response to COVID-19. As a result, animals assigned to Landfear's aforementioned protocol were euthanized in March 2020. This was verified in a correspondence with OHSU staff. 6,7,8

The fact that the laboratory led by Landfear had any unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals in the first place should raise significant red flags, especially since his experiments are funded by taxpayers who should not have to foot the bill for such waste.

Landfear NIAID-Funded Protocol Failed to Reduce and Replace Animal Use

The presence of any unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals in NIAID-funded experiments led by Landfear flies in the face of existing regulations designed to minimize the use of animals in experiments.

NIH-supported language requiring the minimization of the experimental use of animals is present in the Health Research Extension Act of 1985, the National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993, the eighth edition of the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*, and the U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training:

- The Health Research Extension Act of 1985 states, "The Director of NIH shall require each applicant for a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement involving research on animals ... to include in its application or contract proposal ... (1) assurances satisfactory to the Director of NIH that ... (B) scientists, animal technicians, and other personnel involved with animal care, treatment, and use by the applicant have available to them instruction or training in the ... use of research or testing methods that limit the use of animals or limit animal distress [emphasis added]."
- The National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 states, "The Director of NIH ... shall prepare a plan ... for the National Institutes of Health to conduct or support research into ... methods of such research and experimentation that reduce the number of animals used in such research [emphasis added]."
- The eighth edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals states, "The Guide ... endorses the following principles: consideration of alternatives (in vitro systems,

https://news.ohsu.edu/2020/02/28/preparing-for-the-novel-coronavirus-at-ohsu

³OHSU. (2020, February 28). OHSU coronavirus (COVID-19) response.

⁴OHSU. (n.d.). Research and Innovation. https://www.ohsu.edu/research-innovation

⁵OHSU. (n.d.). Euthanized due to COVID-19. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Euthanized-due-to-COVID-19 Redacted.pdf

⁶Kuske, R. (2021, February 9). Response to PETA's 2/3/21 amended request. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/2021-02-09-Responsive-docs-sent-in-previous-FOIA.pdf

⁷Kuske, R. (2021, February 11). Response to PETA's 08/28/20 & 12/16/20 requests. https://www.peta.org/wpcontent/uploads/2021/02/Response-to-PETAs-082820-121620-requests.pdf

⁸eIACUC. (2021, January 6). Delivery of Synthetic Organic Compounds as Broad Spectrum Anti- Parasitic Drugs (TR01 IP00000383). https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/IP00383 Redacted.pdf

⁹Health Research Extension Act of 1985, Publ. L. No. 99-158. (1985). https://olaw.nih.gov/policies-laws/hrea-1985.htm

¹⁰NIH Revitalization Act of 1993, Publ. L. No. 103-43. (1993). https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/pl103-43.pdf

- computer simulations, and/or mathematical models) to reduce or replace the use of animals [emphasis added]."11
- The U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training (1985) states, "The animals selected for a procedure should be of an appropriate species and quality and the minimum number required to obtain valid results [emphasis added]." 12

When Landfear, an NIAID-funded experimenter, buys, breeds, traps, and/or uses animals who at any time—not just during the COVID-19 pandemic—can be deemed unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous or described using similar terminology, he squanders limited research funds, which are provided by taxpayers, and flouts the bedrock "3Rs" principle of reducing, replacing, and refining the use of animals in experimentation that is enshrined in government regulations and policies.

Under this standard, the number of unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals used in the experiments led by Landfear should have been zero from the start since they weren't relevant to his project. Also, because taxpayer funds were used to acquire, breed, confine, and/or maintain these unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals who were then so easily euthanized and disposed of in response to COVID-19, OHSU should reimburse the NIAID for this fiscal waste.

Furthermore, the Congressional Research Service (CRS) has found that during the COVID-19 pandemic, "[s]uspending research may result in additional costs for activities such as animal care," and "[r]estarting research, when conditions permit, may also incur costs for staff time and supplies to ... reestablish laboratory animal populations." Taxpayers and NIAID should not be responsible for the additional costs associated with "reestablishing laboratory animal populations" since universities deemed many of them to be unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous to the experiments and because repopulating animals in laboratories at taxpayers' expense would appear to violate the aforementioned federal regulations and policies that mandate minimizing the use of animals in experiments.

Request for Research Accountability and Modernization

We urge you to cancel the funding granted to Landfear's project 5R33AI127591-05, and to seek reimbursement from him for any and all NIAID funds wasted to acquire, breed, confine and/or maintain the animals who he and/or OHSU deemed unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, extraneous or described using similar terminology and then euthanized. Moreover, we encourage

¹¹National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. (2011). *Guide for the care and use of laboratory*

animals. https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/guide-for-the-care-and-use-of-laboratory-animals.pdf

¹²National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. (2011). Appendix B: U.S. government principles for the utilization and care of vertebrate animals used in testing, research, and training. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK54048/

¹³Congressional Research Service. (2020, April 10). Effects of COVID-19 on the federal research and development enterprise. https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R46309

relevant, non-animal research methods, as des	cribed in PET	A's Research Modernization Deal.
You can contact me at regarding this important matter. Thank you.	(b	We look forward to your reply
Sincerely yours,	(b) (6)	

NIAID to redirect the funds it initially granted to Landfear to instead now focus on human-

¹⁴People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. (2021). *The Research Modernization Deal 2021*. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/PETA-2021-Research-Modernization-Deal.pdf



February 17, 2021

Joshua A. Gordon, M.D., Ph.D. Director National Institute of Mental Health

Via e-mail: joshua.gordon@nih.gov

Dear Dr. Gordon:

Thank you in advance for your time. I'm writing on behalf of People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) and our more than 6.5 million members and supporters. On June 15, 2020, we sent a detailed letter to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General urging Principal Deputy Inspector General Christi Grimm to investigate, assess, and take corrective action regarding the apparent egregious waste of National Institutes of Health (NIH) research grants worth up to billions of taxpayer dollars each year—and the apparent failure of NIH-funded protocols to reduce and replace animal use in experiments as required by federal provisions.¹

Based on the new information presented below, we urge the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) to cancel the taxpayer funding amounting to \$499,244 for FY2020—granted to experimenter Brian O'Roak of the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) for any and all renewals of his protocols, and insist that he reimburses the taxpayer funds used to acquire, breed, confine and maintain the animals used in experiments whom OHSU categorized as unnecessary, extraneous, noncritical, non-essential, ramped down, disposable, or nonpriority or described using similar terminology and—according to documents obtained by PETA through Freedom of Information Act requests—euthanized in response to COVID-19.

Euthanizing Animals Extraneous to NIMH-Funded Experiments Wastes Taxpayer Funds

O'Roak conducts the NIMH-funded protocol titled, "Characterizing Patient-Specific Tbr1 Mutations: Understanding a Master Regulator of Autism Risk" (5R01MH113926-04).² Per its COVID-19 response plan,

¹People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. (2020, June 15). Request to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regarding the COVID-19 contingency plans at US universities. https://www.peta.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/06/20-06-15-Request-to-HHS-OIG-regarding-COVID-19contingency-plans-at-US-universities.pdf ²NIH. (n.d.). Characterizing Patient-Specific Tbr1 Mutations: Understanding a Master PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS

Washington, D.C. 1536 16th St. N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202-483-PETA

Los Angeles 2154 W. Sunset Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90026 323-644-PETA

Norfolk 501 Front St. Norfolk, VA 23510 757-622-PETA

Berkeley 2855 Telegraph Ave. Ste. 301 Berkeley, CA 94705 510-763 PETA

Info@peta.org PETA.org

- · PETA Asio
- · PEIA India
- · PETA France
- · PETA Australia
- · PETA Germany
- . PEIA Nethorlands

Affiliates

OHSU notified its staff that "the university's research mission has been curtailed," and on March 23, 2020, OHSU transitioned to "modified operations" in response to COVID-19.⁴ As a result, animals assigned to O'Roak's aforementioned protocol were deemed non-essential and euthanized during months of March, 5,6,7,8,9 May 10 and June, 11 2020. This was verified in a correspondence with OHSU staff. 12,13

The fact that the laboratory led by O'Roak had any unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals in the first place should raise significant red flags, especially since his experiments are funded by taxpayers who should not have to foot the bill for such waste.

O'Roak's NIMH-Funded Protocol Failed to Reduce and Replace Animal Use

The presence of any unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals in NIMH-funded experiments led by O'Roak flies in the face of existing regulations designed to minimize the use of animals in experiments.

NIH-supported language requiring the minimization of the experimental use of animals is present in the Health Research Extension Act of 1985, the National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993, the eighth edition of the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*, and the U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training:

The Health Research Extension Act of 1985 states, "The Director of NIH shall require each applicant for a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement involving research on animals ... to include in its application or contract proposal ... (1) assurances satisfactory to the Director of NIH that ... (B) scientists, animal technicians, and other personnel involved with animal care, treatment, and use by the applicant have available to them instruction or training in the

Regulator of Autism Risk: 5R01MH113926-04. Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools (RePORT): Project Information. Funded from 2017.

https://projectreporter.nih.gov/project info details.cfm?aid=9929658&icde=53561143

³OHSU. (2020, February 28). OHSU coronavirus (COVID-19) response.

https://news.ohsu.edu/2020/02/28/preparing-for-the-novel-coronavirus-at-ohsu

⁴OHSU. (n.d.). Research and Innovation. https://www.ohsu.edu/research-innovation

⁵OHSU (n.d.). Tbr1- Mouse Master List. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Tbr1-Mouse-Master-List Redacted.pdf

⁶OHSU (n.d.). Tbr1 Mouse List Line-1. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Line-1 Redacted.pdf

OHSU (n.d.). Thr1 Mouse List Line-2. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Line-2 Redacted.pdf

⁸OHSU (n.d.). Tbr1 Mouse List Line-3. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Line-3 Redacted.pdf

OHSU (n.d.). Tbr1 Mouse List Line-4. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Line-4 Redacted.pdf

¹⁰OHSU (n.d.). Tbr1- Mouse Master List. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Tbr1-Mouse-Master-List_Redacted.pdf

¹¹OHSU (n.d.). Tbr1- Mouse Master List. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Tbr1-Mouse-Master-List_Redacted.pdf

¹²Kuske, R. (2021, February 9). Response to PETA's 2/3/21 amended request. https://www.peta.org/wpcontent/uploads/2021/02/2021-02-09-Responsive-docs-sent-in-previous-FOIA.pdf

¹³eIACUC. (2021, January 6). Advanced genome editing for new molecular models of autism (TR01_IP00000077). https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/IP00077_Redacted.pdf

- ... use of research or testing methods that limit the use of animals or limit animal distress [emphasis added]."14
- The National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 states, "The Director of NIH ... shall prepare a plan ... for the National Institutes of Health to conduct or support research into ... methods of such research and experimentation that reduce the number of animals used in such research [emphasis added]." 15
- The eighth edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals states, "The Guide ... endorses the following principles: consideration of alternatives (in vitro systems, computer simulations, and/or mathematical models) to reduce or replace the use of animals [emphasis added]." 16
- The U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training (1985) states, "The animals selected for a procedure should be of an appropriate species and quality and the minimum number required to obtain valid results [emphasis added]." 17

When O'Roak, an NIMH-funded experimenter, buys, breeds, traps, and/or uses animals who at any time—not just during the COVID-19 pandemic—can be deemed unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous or described using similar terminology, he squanders limited research funds, which are provided by taxpayers, and flouts the bedrock "3Rs" principle of reducing, replacing, and refining the use of animals in experimentation that is enshrined in government regulations and policies.

Under this standard, the number of unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals used in the experiments led by O'Roak should have been zero from the start since they weren't relevant to his project. Also, because taxpayer funds were used to acquire, breed, confine, and/or maintain these unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous animals who were then so easily euthanized and disposed of in response to COVID-19, OHSU should reimburse the NIMH for this fiscal waste.

Furthermore, the Congressional Research Service (CRS) has found that during the COVID-19 pandemic, "[s]uspending research may result in additional costs for activities such as animal care," and "[r]estarting research, when conditions permit, may also incur costs for staff time and supplies to ... reestablish laboratory animal populations." Taxpayers and NIMH should not be responsible for the additional costs associated with "reestablishing laboratory animal populations" since universities deemed many of them to be unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, or extraneous to the experiments and because repopulating animals in laboratories at

¹⁴Health Research Extension Act of 1985, Publ. L. No. 99-158. (1985). https://olaw.nih.gov/policies-laws/hrea-1985.htm

 ¹⁵NIH Revitalization Act of 1993, Publ. L. No. 103-43. (1993). https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/pl103-43.pdf
 ¹⁶National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. (2011). Guide for the care and use of laboratory

animals. https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/guide-for-the-care-and-use-of-laboratory-animals.pdf
National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.
(2011). Appendix B: U.S. government principles for the utilization and care of vertebrate animals used in testing, research, and training. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK54048/

¹⁸Congressional Research Service. (2020, April 10). Effects of COVID-19 on the federal research and development enterprise. https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R46309

taxpayers' expense would appear to violate the aforementioned federal regulations and policies that mandate minimizing the use of animals in experiments.

Request for Research Accountability and Modernization

We urge you to cancel the funding granted to O'Roak's project 5R01MH113926-04 and to seek reimbursement from him for any and all NIMH funds wasted to acquire, breed, confine and/or maintain the animals who he and/or OHSU deemed unnecessary, non-essential, noncritical, extraneous or described using similar terminology and then euthanized. Moreover, we encourage NIMH to redirect the funds it initially granted to O'Roak to instead now focus on human-relevant, non-animal research methods, as described in PETA's Research Modernization Deal. 19

(b) (6) xx 1

You can contact me at regarding this important matter. Thank you.		We look forward to your reply
Sincerely yours,	(b) (6)	

¹⁹People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. (2021). *The Research Modernization Deal 2021*. https://www.peta.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/PETA-2021-Research-Modernization-Deal.pdf

Walker, Keri (NIH/OD) [E]

From:

Morse, Brent (NIH/OD) [E]

Sent:

Monday, May 10, 2021 12:03 PM

To:

Walker, Keri (NIH/OD) [E]

Subject:

New case for OHSU A3304

Attachments:

2021-02-17_Request_to_NIEHS[2].pdf

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Keri,

Please use this email and the attached file to open a case for A3304. Oregon Health and Science University. Assign it to me and please let me know the case number. Thank you.

Brent C. Morse, DVM, DACLAM
Director, Division of Compliance Oversight
Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare
National Institutes of Health

From: Lauer, Michael (NIH/OD) [E] <michael.lauer@nih.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 7:07 PM

To:

Cc: Lauer, Michael (NIH/OD) [E] <michael.lauer@nih.gov>

Subject: Your letters to NIMH, NIAID, NIEHS, and NIGMS

Dear

(b) (6)

We are in receipt of your letters to my colleague, Dr. Joshua Gordon, Dr. Hugh Auchincloss, and Dr. Rick Woychick. I also previously wrote to you regarding your letter to my colleague Dr. Jon Lorsch.

NIH takes seriously the welfare of laboratory animals. If you have concerns about the welfare of laboratory animals, please contact the Director of the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare at 6705 Rockledge Drive, Suite 360, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-7982, or by email at olaw@od.nih.gov.

Sincerely, Michael S Lauer, MD

Michael S Lauer, MD
NIH Deputy Director for Extramural Research
1 Center Drive, Building 1, Room 144
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone: 301-496-1096
Email: Michael.Lauer@nih.gov