

USDA-APHIS-Animal Care



	ANIMAL	WELFAR	E COMP	LA]	INT			
Complaint No.	Date Entered:	Processe	Processed By:					
AC22-160	December 23, 202		Robert Baxter					
Referred To:		**************************************	1200,18481312891242		Reply	Due:		
Tonya Hadjis					100 50	ry 22, 2022		
	Facility or	Person Comp	laint Filed .	Agaiı	nst	Just		
Name:			The Additional Company of the Compan			License No.:		
Product Safety Labs			44516			ļ		
Address:	**			Ema	ail Addr	ress:		
2394 US Highway 1	30							
City:		State:	Pl	Phone No.:				
Dayton		NJ	((732) 438-5100				
	C	omplainant In	formation					
Name:) (7)(D)		Organiza	tion:				
A SHARE WAS ASSESSED.	MA A SA			E		J. 2027.0		
Address: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (t	o) (7)(D)			(b) (6	ail Addr	(a), (b) (7)(D)		
City:		State:	P]	hone	No.:	(<u>)(</u> E)		
How was the Compl	aint received?		3					
Email								
Details of Complaint:								
See attached.								
Results:								
This complaint refers to citations on a prior inspection report.								
This complaint refers to charions on a prior inspection report.								
Animal Care inspect	ors conduct unanno	unced inspection	ons for all U	SDA	register	red and licensed		
facilities. Our authority is to ensure that they meet the standards required by Federal regulations.								
Inspectors also perform inspections in response to valid concerns and complaints received from the								
public to ensure the well-being of the animals and compliance with Federal law.								
XX71			N1	¥	a	, i		
When non-compliant items are found, these non-compliances are cited on the inspection report under								
the most accurate regulation based on the circumstances of the issue. Multiple non-compliances for the same issue are only cited when appropriate. With the exception of focused inspections, inspectors								
evaluate the facility for compliance with all applicable regulations. Although all regulatory								
requirements are assessed, only non-compliant items are listed on the inspection report.								
	, , , , ,					····		
= 10 V ==								
Animal Care will continue to inspect this facility to ensure that past non-compliances are corrected and								
that AWA-regulated		ed to the fulles	t extent of F	edera	ıl law.			
Application Kit Prov	ided:							
Yes: No:								



USDA-APHIS-Animal Care



Inspector:	Date:
JESSICA GOWINS	January 6, 2022
Reviewed By:	Date:
Tonya Hadjis	July 13, 2022

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(E

To: Gibbens, Robert - APHIS; APHIS-AnimalCare

Subject: [External Email]Product Safety Labs -- Federal Complaint

Date: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:56:30 AM

[External Email]

If this message comes from an unexpected sender or references a vague/unexpected topic;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov



Dr. Robert Gibbens 12/1/21 Director, Animal Welfare Operations, USDA/APHIS/AC, 2150 Center Ave. Building B, Mailstop 3W11 Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117

Dr. Gibbens,

I am writing to you today to file an Official Complaint against Product Safety Labs (22-R-0143) for clear violations of the Animal Welfare Act regarding the deaths of three rabbits due to inexcusable negligence by lab staff.

As you know, the facility was inspected 10/26/21, and the report cites this lab, and its bungling staff:

"2.31(d)(1)(viii) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)...3 rabbits were used in a skin irritation study. The scientist assigned to prepare the test article did not dilute it as prescribed protocol's special instructions. The test article should have had 1:64 dilution. The solution was applied to the rabbit's skin and the skin was wrapped at 10:49AM as prescribed in the protocol. During a skin check at 3:37PM, the animal care technician noted signs of edema and erythema. At 4:05PM the rabbits were provided with analgesics. Twenty-four hours after the application of the solution the rabbits were euthanized due to levels of edema and erythema of the skin."

This botched procedure led directly to the deaths of these rabbits, and caused them significant pain, as evidenced by the "edema and erythema of the skin."

This entire incident was entirely avoidable, and was caused by the error of the clearly unqualified personnel at this facility. Therefore, this incident would also violate: Sec. 2.32 Personnel qualifications. (a) It shall be the responsibility of the research facility to ensure that all scientists, research technicians, animal technicians, and other personnel involved in animal care, treatment, and use are qualified to perform their duties. This responsibility shall be fulfilled in part through the provision of training and instruction to those personnel.

This incident also violated: Sec. 2.38 Misc. (f)(1) Animal Handling of the Animal Welfare Act which states: "Handling of all animals shall be done as expeditiously and carefully as possible in a manner that does not cause trauma, overheating, excessive cooling, behavioral stress, physical harm, or unnecessary discomfort."

Clearly these animals were not handled in a way which would not cause pain or physical harm.

As you know, Sec. 2.36 Annual report (7) requires that the research facility annual report must:

"State the common names and the numbers of animals upon which teaching, experiments, research, surgery, or tests were conducted involving accompanying pain or distress to the animals and for which the use of appropriate anesthetic, analgesic, or tranquilizing drugs would have adversely affected the procedures, results, or interpretation of the teaching, research, experiments, surgery, or tests. An explanation of the procedures producing pain or distress in these animals and the reasons such drugs were not used shall be attached to the annual report;"

As you can see from the attached annual report, Product Safety Labs (22-R-0143) not only caused the death of these animals, but also failed to report that these rabbits experienced any pain, essentially lying about the suffering that their negligence caused.

Since Product Safety Labs (22-R-0143) negligence has caused severe animal suffering and multiple deaths, I must insist that you take the most severe action allowable under the Animal Welfare Act and immediately launch a full investigation and at the conclusion of the investigation issue the maximum fine allowable against Product Safety Labs (22-R-0143) -- \$10,000 per infraction, per animal.

I look forward to hearing from you in	in the near future about the fate of this facility.	
Sincerely,		
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(D)		
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b)	(7)(D)	
ET		
?		



United States Department of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Animal Care

Fort Collins Office 2150 Centre Avenue Building B, 3W11 Fort Collins, CO 80526 Phone: 970-494-7478 December 23, 2021

b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(D)

Dear Complainant,

Thank you for your correspondence dated November 30, 2021. We are reviewing your concerns and assigned tracking number AC22-160. Please allow us enough time (30 to 60 days) to thoroughly look into your concerns. You may submit a request to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) office to obtain any publicly available information regarding our review.

FOIA Requests can be submitted three ways:

- 1. Web Request Form: https://efoia-pal.usda.gov/App/Home.aspx
- 2. Fax: 301-734-5941
- 3. US Mail: USDA- APHIS- FOIA 4700 River Road, Unit 50 Riverdale, MD 20737

Should you have any questions regarding the APHIS FOIA process or need assistance using the Web Request Form please contact the APHIS FOIA office at 301-851-4102.

Animal Care is a program within the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) that directs activities to ensure compliance with and enforcement of the Animal Welfare Act and the Horse Protection Act. Animal Care establishes standards of humane treatment for regulated animals and monitors and achieves compliance through inspections, enforcement, education, and cooperative efforts under the Acts.

Please be assured that we will look into your concern(s) and take appropriate action(s).

Thank you for your interest into the humane treatment of these animals.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Goldentyer, D.V.M. Deputy Administrator

USDA, APHIS, Animal Care