



United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

KWAGNER
INS-0000863747

Inspection Report

FRANKLIN & MARSHALL COLLEGE
P O BOX 3003
LANCASTER, PA 17604

Customer ID: **301**

Certificate: **23-R-0017**

Site: 001

CENTER FOR PRIMATE
STUDIES

Type: ROUTINE INSPECTION

Date: 11-APR-2023

No non-compliant items identified during this inspection.

This inspection and exit interview were conducted with facility representatives.

Prepared By: KIRA WAGNER

USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Date:

11-APR-2023

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL
OFFICER

Received by Title: Facility Representative

Date:

11-APR-2023



United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Customer: 301
Inspection Date: 11-Apr-2023

Species Inspected

Cust No	Cert No	Site	Site Name	Inspection
301	23-R-0017	001	CENTER FOR PRIMATE STUDIES	11-APR-2023

Count	Scientific Name	Common Name
000014	<i>Sapajus appella</i>	BROWN CAPUCHIN / TUFTED CAPUCHIN
000014	Total	

Research Facility Protocol Selection Worksheet ^{*}

Legal Name: Franklin & Marshall College

Customer Number: 301

Certificate Number: 23-R-0017

Site Number: 001

Inspection Date: 11 APR 2023

Inspection Type: Routine ☒ Focused ☐ (list areas inspected)

Inspector: Kira Wagner, DVM

Reasons Protocols Were Selected for Review :	How Many Protocols Were Selected
1. Protocols identified during inspection of concern (select all)	0
2. Column E protocols (select all)	0
3. Protocols with IACUC-approved exemptions/exceptions (select all)	0
4. Protocols cited as noncompliant and not corrected during the last inspection (select all)	0
5. Additional Protocols Selected: a. If <5 remaining protocols, select all remaining: b. If >5 remaining protocols, select 5 additional protocols: 1) Protocol for each regulated species and/or, 2) Protocols involving high risk procedures (see Chapter 7, Animal Welfare Inspection Guide for guidance):	1
Total Protocols Selected and Reviewed	1

***Note:** Protocol selection guidance applies to protocols which have been initially approved, or have had significant changes approved, since the last inspection. For protocols reviewed by an Animal Care Veterinary Medical Officer within the last year, professional judgment should be used in determining whether another review is necessary.