



United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

SBRUNKHORST
INS-0000842624

Inspection Report

UNIVERSITY TENNESSEE - MARTIN

260 Brehm Hall
Martin, TN 38238

Customer ID: **37207**

Certificate: **63-R-0119**

Site: 001

UNIVERSITY TENNESSEE AT
MARTIN

Type: ROUTINE INSPECTION

Date: 25-JAN-2023

No non-compliant items identified during this inspection.

This inspection was conducted with several facility representatives and the exit interview was conducted with the IACUC representative.

Prepared By: SUSANNE BRUNKHORST

USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL
OFFICER

Date:

25-JAN-2023

Received by Title: IACUC Representative

Date:

25-JAN-2023



United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Customer: 37207
Inspection Date: 25-Jan-2023

Species Inspected

Cust No	Cert No	Site	Site Name	Inspection
37207	63-R-0119	001	UNIVERSITY TENNESSEE AT MARTIN	25-JAN-2023

Count	Scientific Name	Common Name
000000	NONE	NONE
000000	Total	

Research Facility Protocol Selection Worksheet

Legal Name: University Tennessee Martin

Customer Number: 37207

Certificate Number: 83-R-0119

Site Number: 001

Inspection Date: 26 Jan 2023

Inspection Type: Routine ☒ Focused ☐ (list areas inspected)

Inspector: Brunhorst

Reasons Protocols Were Selected for Review :	How Many Protocols Were Selected
1. Protocols identified during inspection of concern (select all)	
2. Column E protocols (select all)	
3. Protocols with IACUC-approved exemptions/exceptions (select all)	
4. Protocols cited as noncompliant and not corrected during the last inspection (select all)	
5. Additional Protocols Selected: a. If <5 remaining protocols, select all remaining; b. If >5 remaining protocols, select 5 additional protocols: 1) Protocol for each regulated species and/or, 2) Protocols involving high risk procedures (see Chapter 7, Animal Welfare Inspection Guide for guidance).	1
Total Protocols Selected and Reviewed	1

*Note: Protocol selection guidance applies to protocols which have been initially approved, or have had significant changes approved, since the last inspection. For protocols reviewed by an Animal Care/Veterinary Medical Officer within the last year, professional judgment should be used in determining whether another review is necessary.